Evaluation on the CAP's impact on knowledge exchange and advisory activities

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

As the agricultural and the forest sectors develop, farmers and foresters continuously need to update their knowledge and information regarding the management of the business, agricultural innovations but also concerning environmental conditions, climate action, food safety and animal health aspects. Knowledge exchange and innovation aim to adapt the daily work routines of the rural actors to emerging needs (such as climate action), open new channels in organising their work, promote cooperation and improve competitiveness and sustainability.

This public consultation has been launched to support the evaluation of the common agricultural policy's (CAP) impact on knowledge exchange and advisory activities. The evaluation aims to determine whether the CAP objective of 'fostering knowledge transfer and innovation in agriculture, forestry and rural areas' are being met and whether the relevant measures are effective, efficient, coherent, relevant and provide EU added value. This public consultation aims to gather information and feedback from stakeholders and the wider public to serve as input for the evaluation. We invite you to contribute to this evaluation by replying to the questionnaire below, which should take around 20 minutes to complete.

When replying, please note that the survey relates to the present CAP. The survey does not address the Commission proposal for the CAP post-2020 (COM (2018)392 and COM (2018) 393 in particular).

Thank you for your valuable input!

About you

* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch

- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish
- * I am giving my contribution as
 - Academic/research institution
 - Business association
 - Company/business organisation
 - Consumer organisation
 - EU citizen
 - Environmental organisation
 - Non-EU citizen
 - Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
 - Public authority
 - Trade union
 - Other

* First name

Felicia

*Surname

Covalciuc

* Email (this won't be published)

felicia.covalciuc@aecm.eu

*Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

European Association of Guarantee institutions (AECM)

*Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

67611102869-33

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre
			and Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Samoa			
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
			Príncipe

Angola	Equatorial	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
	Guinea		
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Barbuda			
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall	Singapore
		Islands	
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon
			Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French	Micronesia	South Africa
	Polynesia		
Bangladesh	French	Moldova	South Georgia
	Southern and		and the South
	Antarctic Lands		Sandwich
	0		Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba			-
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina			

Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory		0	
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands		U U	
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island	[◎] Niue	Togo
	and McDonald		
	Islands		
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern	Tonga
	0 0	Mariana Islands	0
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and
	0,		Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North	Tunisia
		Macedonia	
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
			Caicos Islands
Central African	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Republic	·		
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New	United Arab
		Guinea	Emirates
Christmas	Italy	Paraguay	United
Island	-		Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines	United States
Islands	-		Minor Outlying
			Islands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay

Comoros	Jordan	Poland	US Virgin
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal	Islands [©] Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
			Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda	Western
			Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint	Yemen
		Barthélemy	
Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	Zambia
		Ascension and	
		Tristan da	
_	_	Cunha	
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

* Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your contribution, country of origin and the respondent type profile that you selected will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Background to the public consultation

The evaluation covers the relevant CAP instruments and measures under the 2014-2020 CAP period.

The relevant measures under the <u>rural development policy</u> of the CAP, set out in <u>Regulation</u> (EU) No 1305/2013, include:

- knowledge transfer and information actions, including farm exchange and on-farm demonstration (so called 'measure 1),
- advisory services, including provision of advice, setting up of advisory services and training of advisors (measure 2),
- cooperation, notably the European Innovation Partnership (EIP) and other cooperation projects (measure 16), and
- technical assistance, in particular on networking for the EIP (measure 20).

In this context, <u>Agricultural Knowledge and Innovation Systems (AKIS</u>) are designed to boost initiation and development of innovation projects, to disseminate their results and to use them as widely as possible. AKIS provides a knowledge exchange system between users and producers of innovation and knowledge and exist at national or regional levels, covering all who use and produce knowledge and innovation for agriculture and all interrelated fields. The AKIS is the Member States' innovation ecosystem where stakeholders interact with a view to provide information, knowledge exchange actions, advice, innovation projects etc. related to farming practice, business, authorities, innovation and research.

<u>Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013</u> on the financing, management and monitoring of the CAP provides the legal basis for the <u>Farm Advisory System (FAS</u>). The FAS is obligatory for Member States to establish in order to help farmers to better understand and meet the EU rules for environment, public and animal health, animal welfare and the standards for good agricultural and environmental condition (GAEC).

Introductory questions

1. To allow us to better understand your interest in knowledge exchange activities, please indicate the stakeholder category that best describes the area you represent (one answer possible):

- Farmers or foresters
- Farm advisers
- Researchers
- Training organisers
- Managing authority
- Other

If other, please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

AECM has 48 member organizations operating in 30 European countries (23 EU countries plus Azerbaijan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Serbia, Russia and Turkey). Its members are mutual, private sector guarantee schemes as well as public institutions, which are either guarantee funds or Development banks with a guarantee division. They all have in common the mission of providing loan guarantees for SMEs who have an economically sound project but cannot provide sufficient bankable collateral.

2. Are you aware that the EU tries to foster knowledge exchange, advisory activities, and innovation in the agricultural and forestry sector and in rural areas by providing Member States with financial support for rural development measures via the common agricultural policy (CAP)?

- Yes
- No

3. Are you aware that the CAP has required national administrations to set up a Farm Advisory System (FAS) to help farmers and other beneficiaries find advice to better understand and meet EU rules?

- Yes
- No

4. On which of the following areas should the CAP focus its support for knowledge transfer and innovation? (more than one answer is possible)

between 1 and 13 choices

- Climate action
- Environmental action on water
- Environmental action on soil
- Environmental action on biodiversity
- Animal welfare and health
- Plant health
- Food safety
- Social aspects
- Innovation
- Farming using digital technologies
- Economic viability
- Farm management in general covering farm economics, environmental sustainability, climate action and/or animal welfare

If other, please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

5. Have you benefited from knowledge exchange, advice or innovation projects supported by the CAP in the last five years?

- Yes
- No
- 6. To what extent do you consider the advice provided to farmers and foresters independent?
 - To a very large extent
 - To a large extent
 - To some extent
 - To a very small extent
 - Not at all
 - No opinion

Effectiveness (have the objectives been met?)

7. To what extent do the current CAP measures and instruments foster the flow of information between the categories below:

	To a very large extent	To a large extent	To some extent	To a very small extent	Not at all	No opinion
Between farmers and advisors	0	0	0	۲	0	٥
Between farmers and researchers	0	0	۲	0	0	0
Between researchers and advisors	O	O	O	۲	٢	0

8. To what extent are the CAP measures and instruments effective in fostering knowledge exchange, advisory activities and innovation in the agricultural and forestry sector and in rural areas?

	To a very large extent	To a large extent	To some extent	To a very small extent	Not at all	No opinion
Support for knowledge exchange and information actions (M1)	O	0	۲	0	O	O
Support for the Advisory service measures (M2)	0	۲	0	0	۲	۲
Cooperation and EIP projects (M16)	0	۲	0	0	0	0
Support for networking, notably EIP (M20)	0	0	۲	0	0	0
AKIS	0	0	0	۲	0	0
FAS	0	0	۲	0	0	0

Efficiency (Were the costs involved reasonable/ proportionate?)

9. To what extent are the CAP measures and instruments efficient (providing value for money) in fostering knowledge exchange, advisory activities and innovation in the agricultural and forestry sector and in rural areas?

- To a very large extent
- To a large extent
- To some extent
- To a very small extent
- Not at all
- No opinion

10. Do you agree that all farmers have equal access to knowledge exchange, advice or innovation supported with the CAP?

- Yes
- No
- If no, please specify which categories are less reached and why

If no, please specify which categories are less reached and why:

500 character(s) maximum

There is a need for targeted access to instruments for new entrants (young farmers, start-ups but also individual farmers), as those appear to be left behind.

11. Please indicate for each line the most important aspect (only one answer is possible per line) of administrative cost and/or burden in the implementation of the current CAP measures and instruments fostering knowledge exchange, advisory activities and innovation in the agricultural and forestry sector and in rural areas:

	Too costly	Too complex operation	Too time consuming preparation	Unclear eligibility	Too many administrative requirements	Too frequent policy changes	Administrative burden acceptable	Do not know
Administrative burden for receivers of advice	۲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for providers of advice	0	O	0	0	۲	O	0	0
Administrative burden for managing authorities	0	O	0	0	0	۲	0	0

Relevance (Are the objectives of the relevant CAP instruments in line with actual needs?)

12. To what extent are the CAP instruments and measures sufficient to address the need to foster knowledge exchange, advisory activities, and innovation in the agricultural and forestry sector and in rural areas?

- To a very large extent
- To a large extent
- To some extent
- To a very small extent
- Not at all
- No opinion

13. So far, only a small minority of farmers have benefited of knowledge exchange, advisory services and innovation fostered through the CAP. Which improvements would you propose to increase the uptake?

500 character(s) maximum

An important impediment in getting access to knowledge exchange, advisory services and innovation by farmers is linked to transaction costs and administrative burden. Farmers, but also governments at European, national and regional levels, are confronted with administrative costs.

14. You may complement your answers to the questionnaire with further observations in the following text box. In particular, you are invited to indicate, based on your practical experience, advisory actions/tools that you are missing, or good innovation exchange practices that already exist that are particularly effective to assist farmers and foresters to achieve more sustainable farm or forest management.

1000 character(s) maximum

The following suggestions could prove useful in solving the issues related to administrative burden and transaction costs :

• Harmonization of the CAP/EAFRD procedures with other EU funds such as the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the European Cohesion Policy as currently they have a different management and control system. This will gives Member States and regions more responsibility in terms of policy programming, implementation and control.

- Creation of larger programmes with many operational groups on a certain topic.
- Increase the awareness of knowledge exchange, advisory services and innovation among stakeholders in the Member States
- Train the advisors

15. You may upload a document incorporating additional relevant observations and views regarding the subject. Please keep the contents of such a document short, concise and focused on the subject of the consultation. Unrelated contributions, such as general remarks on the wider CAP will not be taken into account.

Please note that the uploaded document will be published in its entirety alongside your response to the questionnaire, which remains the essential input to this public consultation. The document is optional and serves as additional background to better understand your position.

Please upload your file

The maximum file size is 1 MB Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Thanks for your participation.

Contact

Contact Form