Contribution ID: dd90a10a-6e99-4931-ac2a-e1a0bb656b89

Date: 05/05/2025 13:47:37

Public Consultation - EU funding for competitiveness

Fields marked with *	are mandatory.	
riolae markea mar	are managery.	

Introduction

In 2025, the European Commission will put forward a comprehensive proposal for the next long-term budget – the multiannual financial framework (MFF). This includes EU funding providing financial support to hundreds of thousands of beneficiaries, including research and technology organisations, small and medium /sized businesses, startups and scale-ups, educational and research institutions, industry clusters, and many others.

Today, EU funding in support of competitiveness is spread over too many overlapping programmes, many of which fund the same thing but with different requirements and difficulties in combining funding effectively, which hinders access to EU funding and limits the impact that the funding can have.

As highlighted in President von der Leyen's Commission's <u>Political Guidelines</u>, as well as in the <u>Competitive</u> <u>ness Compass</u>, the Commission will work on a simpler, more focused and impactful MFF that reflects the European strategic priorities (with the ambition of being an "investment-focused Commission"),

The guidelines also announced the creation of a European Competitiveness Fund, as part of the proposal for the next MFF. As set out in the Competitiveness Compass, this investment capacity should channel investment in strategic technologies along the entire investment journey, from research, through scale-up, industrial deployment, to manufacturing – using the power of the EU budget to de-risk and mobilise private investment for our common goals.

The Commission's proposal for the next MFF will be focused on the things that matter most, in areas where the EU can achieve more than Member States acting alone. This requires a careful assessment both of what has worked well in the past and what could be improved in the future. The next MFF will draw lessons from the current budget – notably in terms of simplicity and flexibility, speed and strategic focus.

As an integral part of the process, the Commission is launching a series of public consultations to gather views from all interested parties on the future EU budget. This consultation covers EU funding in support of EU competitiveness. The decision to cluster issues serves to support the preparatory work and does not pre-empt the architecture of future programmes. This questionnaire consists of 3 parts:

Part 1 collects some information about you Part 2 contains questions related to EU funding for competitiveness. Part 3 comprises 2 closing questions.

Your feedback will inform the analysis of the impact assessment for the European Competitiveness Fund. You can save your replies as a draft and finish later. Please be concise in those questions with a free text box for additional comments. If you want, you can also upload a document with your views at the end of the survey.

About you

Part 1. Questions about you

Bulgarian

Croatian

Czech

Danish

*Language of my contribution

Dutch English Estonian Finnish French German Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen

Non-governmental organisation (NGC))	
Public authority		
Trade union		
Other		
*First name		
Simon		
*Surname		
Thibaud		
*Email (this won't be published)		
simon.thibaud@aecm.eu		
*Organisation name		
255 character(s) maximum		
AECM - European Association of Guarantee Institution	ns .	
*Organisation size		
Micro (1 to 9 employees)		
Small (10 to 49 employees)		
Medium (50 to 249 employees)		
Large (250 or more)		
Transparency register number Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. influence EU decision-making.	lt's a voluntary database fo	r organisations seeking to
67611102869-33		
*Country of origin Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisati	on.	
This list does not represent the official position of the Europ		d to the legal status or policy
of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often diverged Afghanistan Djibouti	ergent lists and practices. Dibya	Saint Martin
AfghanistanDjiboutiDominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Niartin Saint Pierre and
Alanu Islanus - Duniiniida	LIGOTIGHSIGHT	Miquelon

	Albania	0	Dominican	0	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
			Republic			and the
						Grenadines
	Algeria		Ecuador		Luxembourg	Samoa
	American Samoa		Egypt		Macau	San Marino
	Andorra		El Salvador		Madagascar	São Tomé and
						Príncipe
	Angola		Equatorial Guinea	a [©]	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
0	Anguilla		Eritrea		Malaysia	Senegal
0	Antarctica		Estonia		Maldives	Serbia
	Antigua and		Eswatini		Mali	Seychelles
	Barbuda					
	Argentina		Ethiopia		Malta	Sierra Leone
0	Armenia		Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands	Singapore
	Aruba		Faroe Islands	0	Martinique	Sint Maarten
	Australia		Fiji		Mauritania	Slovakia
	Austria		Finland	0	Mauritius	Slovenia
	Azerbaijan		France		Mayotte	Solomon Islands
0	Bahamas		French Guiana	0	Mexico	Somalia
	Bahrain		French Polynesia		Micronesia	South Africa
	Bangladesh		French Southern		Moldova	South Georgia
			and Antarctic			and the South
			Lands			Sandwich
						Islands
	Barbados		Gabon		Monaco	South Korea
	Belarus	0	Georgia	0	Mongolia	South Sudan
0	Belgium	0	Germany	0	Montenegro	Spain
	Belize		Ghana		Montserrat	Sri Lanka
	Benin		Gibraltar		Morocco	Sudan
	Bermuda		Greece		Mozambique	Suriname
	Bhutan		Greenland		Myanmar/Burma	Svalbard and
						Jan Mayen
	Bolivia		Grenada		Namibia	Sweden

	Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba		Guadeloupe	0	Nauru	0	Switzerland
0	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	Guam	0	Nepal	0	Syria
0	Botswana	0	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island		Guernsey		New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
0	Brazil		Guinea		New Zealand	0	Tanzania
0	British Indian Ocean Territory	0	Guinea-Bissau	0	Nicaragua	0	Thailand
0	British Virgin Islands	0	Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
0	Brunei		Haiti		Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
0	Bulgaria		Heard Island and	0	Niue	0	Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
0	Burkina Faso		Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi		Hong Kong		Northern	0	Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
0	Cameroon		Iceland		North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
0	Canada		India	0	Norway	0	Türkiye
0	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman	0	Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African		Iraq		Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Republic						
0	Chad		Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
0	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama	0	Ukraine
0	China		Israel	0	Papua New	0	United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
0	Christmas Island		Italy	0	Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
0	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru	0	United States

0	Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	0	Philippines	0	United States
	Islands					Minor Outlying Islands
0	Onla sala'a	O 1		D'harder lala ada		
	Colombia	Jersey		Pitcairn Islands	_	Uruguay
	Comoros	Jordan		Poland		US Virgin Islands
	Congo	Kazakhstan		Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands	Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica	Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
0	Croatia	Kuwait		Romania	0	Vietnam
0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
						Futuna
0	Curaçao	Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia		Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
0	Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena		Zambia
				Ascension and		
				Tristan da Cunha	·	
0	Democratic	Lesotho		Saint Kitts and	0	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the			Nevis		
	Congo					
0	Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Since 2021 have you applied for EU funding managed directly or indirectly by the European Commission?

Mavimum	1	selection(s	٠)
IVIAXIIIIIIII	- 1	Selections	5 J

Yes

No

Part 2. EU funding on Competitiveness

The Commission has preliminarily identified the following challenges linked to competitiveness. How important are these challenges in your view?

	Very important	Important	Moderately important	Not important	No opinion
The innovation and technological gap for the EU in strategic technologies, with respect to key competitors globally	•	0	•	0	•
Underinvestment in research and innovation	•	0	0	0	0
Disparities in innovation and industrial capacity across Member States	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of fertilisation between civil and military research and development	0	0	0	0	•

Insufficient innovation and manufacturing capacity for strategic technologies	•	0	0	©	0
Insufficient focus of EU funding on EU strategic priorities	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of coherence between EU, national and regional policies for competitiveness	•	0	0	0	0
Climate resilience and costs associated with decarbonisation	•	0	0	0	0
Excessive dependence of the EU on non-EU countries for critical inputs, raw materials and strategic technologies	•	0	0	0	0
Access to finance for small businesses and for scale-up companies from research to manufacturing	•	0	©	•	0
Attracting world class researchers, skilled workers and entrepreneurs from abroad	0	•	0	0	0
Incapacity to translate innovation into marketable technologies, and failure to integrate these technologies into the EU's industrial base	•	0	0	0	0
Mismatch between skills and labour market demands	•	0	0	0	0
Digitalisation and diffusion of advanced technologies in key sectors	•	0	0	0	0
Insufficient private investment, including by institutional investors	•	0	0	0	0
Challenges related to the effective protection of EU funds against fraud, corruption and other illegal activity	0	•	0	0	0
Other (please specify below)	0	0	0	0	•

Which development stages for technologies and products should be supported by the next MFF to support EU competitiveness?

	To a large extent	Somewhat	Very little	Not at all	Don't know/not applicable
Fundamental research	0	•	0	0	©

Applied research	•	0	0	0	0
Early-stage development of technologies	•	0	0	0	0
Scaling up	•	0	0	0	0
Industrial deployment	•	0	0	0	•
Manufacturing capacities	•	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify below)	0	0	0	0	•

To what extent does the current EU budget contribute to addressing the following challenges?

	To a large extent	Somewhat	Very little	Not at all
Attracting world class researchers, skilled workers and entrepreneurs from abroad	0	0	•	0
Facilitating collaboration between research and industry	0	•	0	0
Translation of innovation into marketable technologies, and integration of these technologies into the EU's industrial base	0	•	0	0
Disparities in innovation and industrial capacity and resources across Member States	0	0	•	0
Providing world class technological and research infrastructure across the EU	0	•	0	0
Reducing excessive dependencies in critical inputs, raw materials and strategic technologies	0	•	0	0
Fertilisation between civil and military research and development	0	0	0	0
Ensuring continuity in EU funding across the stages from research to manufacturing	0	•	0	0
Demand-side public initiatives for innovation and strategic technologies (e.g. procurement, standards)	0	0	•	0
Decarbonisation and environmental sustainability of strategic sectors	0	•	0	0
Digitalisation and diffusion of advanced technologies in key sectors	0	•	0	0
Support for small businesses and for scale up companies from research to manufacturing	0	•	0	0
Insufficient mobilisation of private financing (e.g. by de-risking private investment)	0	•	0	0

Other (please specify below)	0	0	0	0
------------------------------	---	---	---	---

The Commission has identified a number of possible measures for EU funding to better support EU competitiveness. To what extent do you think such measures could have a positive impact?

	To a large extent	Somewhat	Very little	Not at all	Don't know/ not applicable
Applying common rules, timelines and eligibility criteria to all relevant EU funds	•	0	0	0	0
Increased focus of funding on key current and future strategic priorities	•	0	0	0	0
Reducing the number of EU funding programmes	•	0	0	0	0
Continuity in EU funding from research to manufacturing	•	0	0	0	0
Aligning industrial and research policies and investment at EU and national levels	•	0	0	0	0
Increase international collaboration with like- minded non-EU partners	0	•	0	0	0
Targeted tools and measures to limit EU dependencies in strategic key sectors	•	0	0	0	0
Strengthen business advisory support services (e.g. coaching, mentoring, training) for successful applicants	•	0	0	0	0
User-friendly IT tools	•	0	0	0	0
Better feedback to applicants	0	•	0	0	0
Introduce more flexibility into resource allocation to react to crises and emerging needs	•	0	0	0	0
Place greater focus on achieving results, including via performance-based funding (such as financing not linked to costs)	0	•	•	0	0
Preventing and combat fraud and corruption better as well as other illegal activities targeting EU funds	0	•	•	0	0
Other (please specify below)	0	0	0	0	•

If you would like to add further information – within the scope of this questionnaire – feel free to do so here.

AECM members strongly advocate for SME access to finance to remain a key policy priority in the next EU budget. Ensuring that all SMEs have access to adequate financing throughout their lifecycle is essential for their growth, economic resilience and overall competitiveness. To achieve this, the European Commission should continue promoting the use of financial instruments in the form of guarantees and counter-guarantees which leverage private investment with minimal public resources, thereby optimising the impact of EU funding.

Attach any relevant documents to support your replies

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

1f8a2d49-c188-4a68-b7d9-03cfec8cf1dd/AECM_requests_for_future_funding_instruments_MFF_2028-2034.pdf

Contact

SG-MFF-PUBLIC-CONSULTATIONS@ec.europa.eu